

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,357	10/14/2003	Yoshimasa Funakawa	02197CD/HG	6706	
1933	7590 05/23/2006		EXAMINER		
•	HOLTZ, GOODMAN	SMITH, NICHOLAS A			
220 Fifth Aver 16TH Floor	nue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/686,357	FUNAKAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nicholas A. Smith	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	arch 2006.				
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
•						
8)[_]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/686,357

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

Claims 1-3 remain for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 6-264185 (JP'185) cited by applicant in IDS dated October 14, 2003.

JP'185 is applied to claims 1-3 for the same reasons as stated in paragraphs 3-7 of the previous office action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/16/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### Applicant argues:

JP'185 differs from the instant application in terms of composition. There is a difference between compositions in claims 1 and 2 (by wt %, C<0.06, Mo: 0.05-0.6 and Ti: 0.02-0.10) and JP'185 (by wt %, C: 0.02-0.08, Mo: 0.2-1.0 and Ti: 0.02-0.20). There is a difference between JP'185 and claim 3 (by wt %, C: 0.06-0.15, Mo: 0.3-0.7, and Ti: 0.10-0.35).</li>

Application/Control Number: 10/686,357

Art Unit: 1742

- Example D in JP'185 (table 2) gives a ratio of 1.58 for 0.8
   (C/12)/[(Ti/48)+(Mo/96)] <1.3 and contains Cr.</li>
- Example E in JP'185 (table 2) satisfies the requirement of 0.8
   (C/12)/[(Ti/48)+(Mo/96)] <1.3. However, it contains Ti = 0.143 wt%, more than the claimed range of 0.02-0.10 wt% Ti in claims 1 and 2. Example E also contains Cr.</li>
- 4. JP'185 is silent about Mo precipitates. The instant application is principally free of a hard second phase, whereas JP'185 mentions "martensite at a volume fraction of 5 to 15%."

### Examiner responds:

- 1. JP'185 has a steel alloy with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited in the instant claims; such overlap in alloy wt% ranges establishes a prima facie case of obviousness because it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the claimed alloy wt% ranges over the broader disclosure of the prior art since prior art teaches similar utility (components for a machine structure) and high strength properties in the whole range. See MPEP 2144.05.
- 2-3. In addition, the prior art closely meet the relation 0.8<

  (C/12)/[(Ti/48)+(Mo/96)] <1.3 (example D and example E). Since applicant has not demonstrated (e. g. by comparative test data) that the alloy or equation ranges recited by claims 1-3 are somehow critical and productive of new and unexpected results, then claims would not

Art Unit: 1742

patentably distinguish over prior art. In regards to the presence of Cr in JP'185, including example D and example E, the language in claims 1-3 uses the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" in the first step of the claimed method. In claims 1-3, applicant has burden to show the additional element Cr in the prior art would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention (see MPEP 2111.03). In the absence of the factual evidence, the cited prior art still read on the claimed invention.

4. Unless the applicant can demonstrate (e.g. by comparative test data) that the microstructure characteristic for prior art compositions not in the claimed composition ranges differ from the microstructure characteristic for prior art composition, the same microstructure characteristic would be expected since composition and process of making (JP'185, table on page 5) the steel alloy are closely met, and in absence of proof to the contrary.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/686,357 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas A. Smith whose telephone number is (571)-272-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571)-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROY KING PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

\*\*\*